FOR MYSORE STATE

Development (1953) Department.

RL SERIES.

G.O. NO.	252
Dated	21.3.53

Perused
23
Supdt

[Despatch Abstract.]

Industries - Sericulture - L.B.P. No. 854 (starred) - Constitution of an Advisory Board for management of G.S.F. College Paper Records

LEGISLATURE (ASSEMBLY) SECRETARIAT

21-10-1952

Dept Deptt

Dept Cn. 121244 G/52

28-10-52

STARRED S.C.

SRI VISWANATHAIAH, M.L.A.

DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.

*Q.No.864:

Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries and Labour be pleased to state:

a) whether there was a proposal for, or, whether there was an Advisory Board consisting of officials and non-officials in the management of the Government Silk Filatures, Kollegal; and

b) if so, why and when it was dropped; and

c) whether the Government will be pleased to consider the advisability of constituting such an Advisory Board of officials and non-officials in the public interest and efficiency of management?

R.V.21/10

Dept Deptt

A draft copy may be circulated to M (I & L) for perusal. The question has been admitted.

29/10/52

4/11/52

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
NOV 1952

DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
1952

LEGISLATURE (ASSEMBLY) SECRETARIAT

21-10-1952

STARRED S.C. IAH

SRI VIRUPAKSHAYYA, M.L.A.

DEVELOPMENT [redacted] DEPARTMENT.

*Q.No.854:

Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries and Labour be pleased to state:

a) whether there was a proposal for, or whether there was an Advisory Board consisting of officials and non-officials in the management of the Government Silk Filatures, Kollegal; and

b) if so, why and when it was dropped; ~~and~~

c) whether the Government will be pleased to consider the advisability of constituting such an Advisory Board of officials and non-officials in the public interest and efficiency of management?

R.V.21/10

Dev. (G) Dept.
***

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUESTION NO.1577(Starred)

SRI VIRUPAKSHAYYA (AH) M.L.A.

*Q.No. ~~1577~~ 854

Answer.

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Industries and Labour be pleased to State:

(a) whether there was a proposal for, or whether there was an Advisory Board consisting of Officials and non-officials in the management of the Govt. Silk Filatures, Kollegal;

(b) If so, why and when was it dropped;

(a) & (b):- There was a proposal to have an Advisory Board for the Government Silk Filatures, Kollegal but Govt. examined it and in 1950 came to the conclusion that as the concern was working well and at a profit there was no need for such an advisory board.

To

The Secretariat of Madras,
Legislature (Assembly Section),
(5 copies).

Copy to Public (Elections) Dept.

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY QUESTION NO. ~~1577~~ 854 (Starred).

SRI VIRUPAKSHAYYA M.L.A.

***

STARRED QUESTION NO. ~~1577~~ 854

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Industries and Labour be pleased to state:

(a) whether there was a proposal for, or whether there was an Advisory Board consisting of Officials and non-officials in the management of the Government Silk Filatures, Kollegal;

(b) If so why and when was it dropped;

ANSWER:- Development Department

(a) & (b) :- There was a proposal to have an Advisory Board for the Government Silk Filatures, Kollegal but Government examined it and in 1950 came to the conclusion that as the concern was working well and at a profit there was no need for such an advisory board.

B. Joogappa,
Joint Secretary to Government

(The above answer has been approved by Dr. U. Krishna Rao Minister for Industries and Labour).

To
The Secretariat of Madras, Legislature (Assembly Section)
Copy to Public (Elections) Department.

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

Madras Legis. I-3—4,006—12-1-51.

SECRETARIAT OF THE MADRAS LEGISLATURE,
Govt. Estate, Mount Road
~~Fort St. George~~, dated the 25.10. 1952

Dis 1212446/52
28-10-52

QUESTION No. 854 for the next meeting of the Legislative Assembly ~~to be held on the~~ ~~195~~

Two copies of this question are forwarded to the Development Department.

The question has been admitted.

25/10 AVS 27/10/52 26/X

To

The Development Department.

Reference to the Question has been circulated to M(2.5)
27/10

G.O.No. 18100/52-1 M.L
27-10-52.

Dev. (G) Dept.
***

The Retrenchment and Reorganisation Committee constituted by the Govt, recommended, among others, the constitution of statutory Boards for the Govt. Commercial concerns. The recommendation was examined in relation to the Govt. Silk Filatures, Kollegal ~~and though the Govt. Silk Filatures, Kollegal~~ and though the Govt. at first provisionally decided to constitute an Advisory Board for the concern instead of a Statutory Board, the proposal was, however, finally deferred by the Cabinet in February, 1950.

The note for the Cabinet on pp. 31-33 c.f. (K) explains the position.

As regards the need for the constitution of an Advisory Board, attention is invited to para 2 on p. 5 c.f. (B) The D.I.& C. has stated that no need for securing the advice of any Advisory Body for ensuring the efficient p.t.o.

2

C.NO 1212 44 7/52 2/1/5/11

management of the Filatures has been felt during the the last 7 years. The Govt. Silk Filatures has been working ~~on~~ at a profit during 1949-50 and 1950-51.

A draft answer and a draft 'Note for Supplementaries' are submitted below for consideration. It may be circulated to M.(I.&L) for approval.

4.11.52

4/11/52

the answer to this question was once already approved by M(I&L) in the file at (B) ante. L.A.Q. has lapsed and must be renewed.

The Question is Carried

J.S. 5 xi 52

M.(I&L) 6/11/52

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 6 NOV 1952 DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 6 NOV 1952

Government Silk Filatures, Kollegal.

331 Q.—SRI S. C. VIRUPAKSHIAH: Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries and Labour be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether there was a proposal for, or whether there was an Advisory Board consisting of officials and non-officials for the management of the Government Silk Filatures, Kollegal; and

(*b*) if so, why and when was it dropped?

THE HON. DR. U. KRISHNA RAO:—"(*a*) & (*b*) There was a proposal to have an Advisory Board for the Government Silk Filatures, Kollegal, but the Government examined it and in 1950 came to the conclusion that as the concern was working well and at a profit there was no need for such an advisory board."

Answer desptd.

The ~~answer~~ of the printed proceedings of the Assembly above may be perused. The question was answered on 6.12.52. No further action seems called for. The papers may be recorded as a GO(RT) for facility of future reference

27/8/53

27/8/53

G.O.Rt. 252 dated 22.353
Subject: Industries—Sericulture—Constitution of an Advisory Board for management of G.S.F. Kollegal—Papers—Recorded.
(The cross cited)

Original note
15 or pp 19-21 of (B)

Dev. (G) Dept.

NOTE FOR SUPPLEMENTARIES.

f 1 cg of (K)
G O M S 798 Dev
28-2-50

The Retrenchment and Reorganisation Committee constituted by the Govt. early in 1948 recommended, among others, that in regard to Govt. commercial undertakings such as the Kerala Soap Institute, Kozhikode, a Statutory Board like the Railway Board consisting of persons experienced in business undertaking should be constituted in each concern to advise the administration and technical staff in charge of them and help the Govt. in directing the concerns. The D.I.& C. who was consulted in the matter was against the Constitution of such a Board for the following reasons:--

G O M S 1517 Dev
26-3-49
Not kept up

(i) While the proposal is theoritically attractive, it will, in practice, amount to adding a fifth wheel to the Coach;

Papers not found up

(ii) The Railway Bd., the Damodar Valley Bd. etc. consist of members who are all officials conversant with the multifarious aspects of the very large scale undertakings involved; No stress is laid on the business experience of members.

— do —

(iii) Madras Govt. commercial concerns are small Units concentrating on one line of production and are in charge of experts in that line;

— do —

(iv) The direction of the concern is vested in the D.I.& C. assisted by technical experts at Head ... rters;

— do —

(v) There are very few industrialists with real Industrial experience in South India. Directors of most companies are amateurs appointed for the influence they wield on the capital they put in;

(vi) For advice on administration, management and in Technical matters such Boards have more often to turn to Managing Directors or Technical Experts;

(VII) Policy decisions are taken in the Secretariat by the Ministry and partly by the Director of Industries.

(Viii) A non-official Advisory Committee add to the expense of the concern which can otherwise be avoided;

p.t.o.

(ix) Members of such Boards are likely to interest themselves more in appointments of their friends as employees, agents etc. of the concern than in advising Govt. and the Dept. to work it more efficiently;

(x) The Board will only contribute to delay in taking decisions and in the implementation of policies.

2. For these reasons the D.I.& C. considered the constitution of such Boards as neither necessary nor desirable. He suggested that if advice on any complicated matter is desired, the Govt. or the Dept. may obtain it ad hoc from the few indigenous experts available or from other sources outside.

3. The arguments advanced by the D.I.& C. against the constitution of Statutory Boards in Govt. Commercial concerns were considered by the Govt. They however decided that an Advisory Board consisting of non-official members instead of a Statutory Board should be constituted for the Kerala Soap Institute, Kozhikode and orders constituting a Board were accordingly issued in March, 1949. The question of constituting a similar Bd. for the Govt. silk Filatures, Kollegal was then examined and it was decided that a similar Advisory Bd. might be constituted for the concern also. However, before finalising the proposal and when the file was circulated, the late Minister (Ind.) (Sri C. Perumalswamy Reddiar M.L.C.) minuted as follows:-

"The proposal may be deferred for the present".

4. The proposal was therefore placed before the Cabinet which decided that the proposal to constitute an Advisory Board for the Govt. Silk Filatures, Kollegal should be deferred. Orders were accordingly issued in February, 1950.

5. The Govt. Silk Filatures, has been working satisfactorily for the last few years and the D.I.& C. has reported that no need has ever been felt for securing the advice of any Advisory Body for ensuring efficient management of the Filatures.

6. The Govt. Silk Filatures, Kollegal is now under the immediate and direct supervision of a Sericultural Expert, Sri A.T. Janakiraman. The Filatures has been working at a profit and during 1949-50 it has earned a profit of Rs.1,01,497/-

n.t.o.

and during 50-51, the concern earned a profit of Rs. 46,912/-.

Incidentally, it may be mentioned that in order to coordinate the activities of the sericulture and filatures sections, a Sericulture Development Board was formed in 1943 and it functioned till September 1947. When the Sericultural Expert was put in charge of the Govt. Silk Filatures, both the sections were brought under him and the committee was wound up.

Except for an Advisory Board constituted for Kerala Soap Institute, ~~Calicut~~ Kozhikode, the Govt. have not constituted any statutory Board or Advisory Board for any of the commercial concerns under this Government. It may also be mentioned here that unlike 'Soap' very few experts on Silk production are available in this State outside the Sericultural Branch of the Industries Dept. It is also felt that a Board, Statutory or otherwise, consisting of non-officials may work to the disadvantage of the factory if the members are in a position to ferret out the secret processes of manufacture